

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Admss: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/936,289 | 09/12/2001 | Taizo Sato | SATO=22 | 3961 | |
| 75 | 90 07/07/2003 | | | | |
| Browdy & Neimark 624 Ninth Street Suite 300 Washington, DC 20001 | | | EXAMINER ROY, SIKHA | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 2879 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re | | Action Summary | | Part of Paper No. 9 | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------------|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s | 5) 🔲 | | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | 4) 🗌 | Intonios C | W/DTO 4422 Day 12 12 1 | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | |
| | a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) 🗆 A | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 4) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | | ian priority under 31 | 51190 & 110/s | a)_(d) or (f) | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| '') | 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 441 | Applicant may not request that any objection to | | - | | _ | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| · | The specification is objected to by the Exami | | | • | | | | | |
| l '' _ | Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Claim(s) $1,2,5,7-9$ is/are pending in the app | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | , <u> </u> | This action is non-fi | | ropposition as to the | morito in | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 | | nal | | | | | | |
| Status | December to communication(s) filed 0 | O March 2002 | | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | Sikha Roy | | 2879 | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | 09/936,289 | | SATO ET AL. | | | | | |
| | • | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | LIK | | | | |

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' election without traverse of Group I, claims 1,2,5,7-9 in Paper No.8 is acknowledged.

Claims 3 and 4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 1 and 2, B and N are not defined. For examination purpose B and N are considered to be the wt.% of boron and nitrogen present in the shadow mask material respectively. The Examiner also notes that there is inconsistency in the unit of B and N and ppm (parts per million) used in the inequality in claims 1 and 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,5,7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2-254139 to Toyo Kohan Co. LTD.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,289

Art Unit: 2879

Referring to claims 1 and 2 JP 2-254139 discloses (please see Constitution) shadow mask material comprising 0.0013 wt.% of nitrogen (Table: embodiment 1), 0.0005-0.01 wt.% of carbon, silicon equal or less than 0.03 wt.%, 0.05-0.60 wt.% of manganese, 0.013 wt.% of phosphorus, 0.014 wt.% of sulfur, 0.02-0.50 wt.% of aluminum, 0.001-0.030 wt.% of boron and the residue including iron with unavoidable impurities and in which the value of B/N x 14/11 is regulated to satisfy a predetermined value of 1.5, B and N representing the wt.% of boron and nitrogen present in the mask material. JP 2-254139 further discloses that this shadow mask material provides excellent non-ageing and press formability characteristics.

Referring to claims 5,7 JP 2-254139 discloses this low carbon aluminum-killed steel material made with this specific composition is used for material for shadow mask.

Referring to claims 8 and 9 JP 2-254139 discloses the shadow mask is used in image forming picture tubes.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following prior art references are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to shadow mask materials.

- U.S. Patent 5,002,619 to Tsuda et al.
- U.S. Patent 5,209,900 to Nakamura et al.
- U.S. Patent 5,532,088 to Teshima et al.
- U.S. Patent 5,643,697 to Baudry et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,289

Art Unit: 2879

U.S. Patent 6,258,496 to Makita et al.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

5.R.

Sikha Roy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

' NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800